

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_113245
Title of the Manuscript:	A Study on the Relationship between Community Participation and the Improvement of Slum Settlement Quality in Medan City
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. The manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound for the scientific community.</p> <p>2. The title of the article is suitable.</p> <p>3. The abstract of the article is comprehensive. However, the opening sentence (objective) is lightly featured around the title of the article. The words "relationship between" should have appeared in that sentence.</p> <p>4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript are okay.</p> <p>5. The manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6. The references are not sufficient.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>1. The English language quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communication.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>1. The methodological aspect adopted in this article does not appear anywhere in the abstract.</p> <p>2. There are no sources cited in the paragraphs within the "introduction" section.</p> <p>3. In the "Results and Discussion" section, the research findings are well presented; however, the "Discussion" is missing.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Laison Simon Kaganga</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>St. Augustine University of Tanzania, Tanzania</b>