

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_113294
Title of the Manuscript:	LEVERAGING ON FINTECH DATA MANAGEMENT AND COMPLIANCE TO REDUCE CRYPTOCURRENCY VOLATILITY, ENSURING THE SECURITY OF US EMPLOYEE RETIREMENT BENEFIT: A CASE OF BITCOIN ETF APPROVAL.
Type of the Article	

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</li> <li>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</li> <li>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</li> <li>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</li> </ol> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Yes, this manuscript is important for today's scientific community to provide knowledge and information regarding the prevalence of Fintech in our community.</li> <li>2. The title is a too long, could you make it a little bit shorter, which only maximum 3 rows of the title?</li> <li>3. Yes, but too many keywords, maximum only 8 keywords</li> <li>4. All subsections and structure did not follow the template paper (manuscript). Please change and revise it. For example: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The background to the study, research problem and research objective should be merged into the Introduction section. Please make a succinct introduction!</li> <li>- In the research hypothesis section, the author did not explain clearly why he/she propose such the hypothesis, what is the reason behind?</li> <li>- In the methodology section, the author did not explain for instance, the BLUE assumption of applying multiple regression analysis</li> <li>- The author did not put the title of each table which should follow the manuscript template</li> <li>- In the discussion of finding section, please provide the dark-side/opponent of the research finding by proposing the contra argument scholars of the author opinion. The author only provide the "pro" section argument of his/hers.</li> </ul> </li> <li>5. Yes.</li> <li>6. Yes.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Yes, it is fair enough.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Muhammad Masyhuri</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Pecs, Hungary</b>