

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_112995
Title of the Manuscript:	PUBLIC PERCEPTION OF THE DEPICTION OF CROSS-DRESSING AMONG NIGERIAN SKIT MAKERS ON FACEBOOK
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The research is important to the community and shreds light on the growing concerns revolving around cross dressing & its possible impacts on people in general & Nigerians in particular.</p> <p>I would suggest an alternative title that also depicts the nature of study (whether empirical or doctrinal) "An Empirical Investigation into How Nigerian Skit Makers Portray Cross-Dressing on Facebook and Its Impact on Public Perception"</p> <p>Some corrects are required as to the sequences of the content so that the flow of the study doesn't break. I would suggest all theoretical content be placed before we jump into METHODOLOGY part, followed by Data analysis which has been excellently done by the researcher.</p> <p>Though the references at the end are sufficient, I would suggest the author to add footnotes also as have been indicated by me in the reviewed document shared back.</p> <p>NIL</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, the language and use of words is good.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Kindly add survey questionnaire as an annexure to the document</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Janees Rafiq
Department, University & Country	Rajiv Gandhi National University of Law, India