

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJARR_112995</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>PUBLIC PERCEPTION OF THE DEPICTION OF CROSS-DRESSING AMONG NIGERIAN SKIT MAKERS ON FACEBOOK</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Research Article</b>

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b>
<p><b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for the scientific community?</b> (Please write a few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p><b>Although the idea of collecting public perception regarding cross-dressing sounds interesting, this manuscript lacks scientific analysis.</b></p> <p><b>The title is suitable as per the nature of the work.</b></p> <p><b>The abstract is comprehensive but runny.</b></p> <p><b>The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.</b></p> <p><b>The manuscript lacks a scientific temper. It is unclear what the criteria of keeping particular options/responses for questions have been.</b></p> <p><b>This manuscript needs a lot of improvement. There are basic studies that could be referred to, such as;</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>1. Horak, L. (2016). <i>Girls Will Be Boys: Cross-Dressed Women, Lesbians, and American Cinema, 1908-1934</i>. United Kingdom: Rutgers University Press.</b></li> <li><b>2. Hauk, S. (2017). <i>Living with Crossdressing: Creating a New Normal</i>. (n.p.): Charles Ingersoll.</b></li> </ol>	
<p><b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The manuscript needs proper proofreading. There are too many grammatical mistakes.</p>	
<p><b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments</p>	<p>The author may be asked to improvise and resubmit the manuscript. There is much generalisation of the arguments which have been collected as it is from social media sites. The author must give a scientific rationale for adopting a particular research methodology and likewise the analysis and interpretation of the data.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Anil Kumar</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Central University of Himachal Pradesh, India</b>