

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_111121
Title of the Manuscript:	Analysis of field data using Microsoft excel and MATLAB software to evaluate reservoir and well damage
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, but needs improvement.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>To improve on the quality of the paper the following should be effected:</p> <p>(1.) Key words should be added after the abstract.</p> <p>(2.) Congested citation references ([1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10] on page one) is not professional. The authors should decongest it.</p> <p>(3.) A lot of spelling errors, the authors should proof read again, for instance in page 2 retrieval was misspelt with retrival, on page 6, calculate was misspelt with caculate.</p> <p>(4.) The authors should end the short form etc. with a dot for it is abbreviation.</p> <p>(5.) Tables 1, 2 and 3 were not cited in the body of the work and thus they are hanging. The authors should cite them in the text.</p> <p>(6.) There are many equations in section 2.2.2 that were not numbered. The equations should be numbered and centralized. Consequently, they should equally be cited in the body of the text.</p> <p>(7.) Figures 1 and 2 were not cited in the body of the work. They should be cited.</p> <p>(8.) The float values in the article do not have any consistence decimal places. I am suggesting that the authors should format all the floating numbers to 3 decimal places to maintain consistency in the numbers.</p> <p>(9.) The authors should remove all the bullets that were placed at the subsection titles.</p> <p>(10.) Variables should be defined most if not all the variables where not defined.</p> <p>(11.) There grammatical errors, full stop and capitalization were abused.</p> <p>(12.) All used bullet item listing should be replaced with alphabets for easy referencing.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Onwudebelu Ugochukwu
Department, University & Country	Alex Ekwueme Federal University, Nigeria