

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_113833</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>INFLUENCE OF HIGH TEMPERATURE STRESS ON MORPHO-PHYSIOLOGICAL AND YIELD TRAITS OF BLACKGRAM (Vigna mungo L.) GENOTYPES</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. It has some importance to the scientific community.</p> <p>2. Title is brief and informative</p> <p>3. The abstract is not comprehensive. It is very shallow and lacks numerical values</p> <p>4. Yes, they are appropriate. The subsections are in line with the appropriateness of the study</p> <p>5. The references are not sufficient, because the manuscript needs detail discussion, thus additional references are required. The author should separate the result and discussion section to see the deficiencies in the discussion section.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The grammatical construction of this manuscript is good and suitable for scholarly communications</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The article is scientifically sound and important for readers but need some improvement. Such research should be done to assess the different varieties for their heat tolerance under outdoor environments and controlled conditions. Then, you can be sure that temperature, rather than other factors, entirely influences the result.</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Abdul Rahman Sesay</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Njala University, Sierra Leone</b>