

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCOS_112467
Title of the Manuscript:	Maximizing Penetration Testing Success with Effective Reconnaissance Techniques using ChatGPT
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Chat GPT is widely used now a days for different purpose and aspects.</p> <p>Title is appropriate</p> <p>Partially (Abstract is too lengthy)</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>More Recent references should be added chronologically i.e. starting with most recent one to the oldest one.</p> <p>I thoroughly enjoyed reviewing this manuscript and only have few minor requests for revision as follows</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Abstract (what you are going to do) &amp; conclusions (what you have done) are not sharp, rewrite it.</li> <li>Keywords are not sufficient and appropriate.</li> <li>Methodology part and Result part are too sketchy; rewrite this to make it sharp and clear.</li> <li>Many of the paragraphs seem to be copied from standard papers that need to be changed in your own words in simple English.</li> <li>Wherever possible, diagrammatical representation would be appreciated.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Acceptable</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Swagat M. Karve</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>SBPCOE, India</b>