

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_113226
Title of the Manuscript:	A Gendered Perspective on Household Dietary Diversity Status in Mbinga District, Tanzania
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. This manuscript is very important as it relates to global public health through adherence to dietary diversity, especially from a gender perspective. This manuscript provides information on household food sources for under-fives, household dietary diversity between female-headed households and male-headed households in Mbinga District, Tanzania. Through the recommendations of this manuscript, the government of Tanzania and other countries should develop gender-responsive programs in terms of providing equal access and opportunities to food, resources, education, and the economy for both men and women.</p> <p>2. The title of the article is suitable.</p> <p>3. The abstract of the article is very comprehensive</p> <p>4. Subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate</p> <p>5. Yes. This manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6. Yes, The references sufficient and recent.</p> <p>Suggestions : The authors should provide clearer reasons for using a cross-sectional research design.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes. The Language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communication</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Samsinar S
Department, University & Country	Islamic Institute of Bone State, Indonesia