

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_112823
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF ELECTRONIC FRAUD ON THE FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE OF BANKS IN NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Empirical paper

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>This manuscript is very much need of the hour. It explains the types of bank frauds and using statistical tools, solutions to reduce bank frauds given. So it very much important for scientific community.</p> <p>Yes. The title of the Article is very much suitable.</p> <p>Yes. The abstract is comprehenses the entire paper.</p> <p>YEs. The subsections and structure are very much appropriate.</p> <p>The manuscript is scientifically and statistically correct.</p> <p>The references ranges from 2011 to 2022. it is more than sufficient to support the concept of the paper.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The English language quality of the paper is very good and very well suitable for scholarly communications except few corrections which is been pointed out.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>V. Andal</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Vels Institute of Science, Technology and Advanced Studies, India</b>