

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_113124
Title of the Manuscript:	Co-decided agriculture information radio program needs. A Case Study of Women Crop Farmers in Dodoma City, Tanzania
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The manuscript is important for the scientific community. 2. The title of the article is suitable. 3. There has to be specific points/strategies raised as recommendation to the radio agents based on the findings rather than recommending them to carry out an assessment. There is a need to revise one of the key word 'Co-decided agricultural programs' as Co-decided agricultural radio programs. 4. I prefer additional points to be raised that fulfils the comprehensiveness of the manuscript (e.g. the role of community radio programs in agriculture, etc.). 5. The manuscript concepts and theories are shallow and entails an in-depth analysis of the subject matter and related issues. 6. The manuscript is a little bit correct scientifically. 7. The reference is not sufficient. Requires additional areas that have link with the subject matter. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	The language needs to be polished with proper academic wordings.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	The scholar need to review articles on the related topics and I hope, will give us the comprehensive information.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Meseret Meskele Guja
Department, University & Country	Wolaita Sodo University, Ethiopia