

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_100449</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Effect of seed storage period and growth regulators on seed germination and physiological parameters of jackfruit seedling</b>
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>Yes, as the viability of jackfruit seeds lost very quickly which leads to poor germination.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Needs to improvise as the authors had given its location details, which may be not required in the abstract.</p> <p>It is appropriate.</p> <p>There are few grammar mistakes and correction that already mentioned in the manuscript. Tables are correct.</p> <p>References are in correct format but need to add a few new related references. One reference is not mentioned in the text, kindly check that.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>It is ok still author need to check again as few statements are grammatically incorrect.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The research conducted is good and presented well by the authors. Still need few minor revisions and it needs to be supported by the latest literature.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

## Review Form 1.7

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Shudh Kirti Dolma</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>CSIR-IHBT, India</b>