

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Crop Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCS_112581
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATION OF HEAVY METAL CONTAMINATION IN APPLE, ORANGE, AND WATERMELON RETAILED ALONG ROADSIDES IN OKINNI, EGBEDORE LOCAL GOVERNMENT, OSUN STATE, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes, the manuscript is important for scientific community.</p> <p>2. Yes, the title of the article is suitable.</p> <p>3. Yes, the is abstract of the article comprehensive.</p> <p>4. subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.</p> <p>5. Yes</p> <p>6. In the introduction part, referencing in the text is wrong, the following examples should be corrected: (Zhang,, 2020) wrong, (Zhang et al, 2020) correct (Okoronko, as cited in Tufuor et.al, 2011) wrong, (Tufuor et al, 2011) correct (Sanaei, Sanaei, Amin, Alavijeh, ... Rezakazemi, 2021), (Munir, Jahangeer, Bouyahya,... Ali, 2022), (Tufuor, Bentum, Essumang, Koranteng, 2011).</p> <p>These two references are not fully written at the end: (Jarup, 2003) and (Fathabad et al., 2018).</p> <p>The highlighted references at the end of the article should be corrected and needs more references.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The manuscript is well-written in terms of language.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>It is advisable to include the experimental methods along with the corresponding references, thereby enhancing the test's validity.</p> <p>It is recommended to incorporate prior experiments conducted in this context and to analyze the already obtained results. The current findings and arguments appear feeble and cursory, failing to adequately persuade the reader.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Elham Baninaiem
Department, University & Country	University of Hormozgan, Iran