

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_112893
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of Integrated Farming system on Doubling Farmers' Income
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p>	<p>1- The manuscript is highly significant for the scientific community as it delves into the impact of integrated farming systems on farmers' income in Maharashtra's Konkan region. Given the pivotal role of agriculture in the Indian economy, understanding income dynamics in this sector is crucial. The study's robust methodology, featuring an "Ex-Post-Facto" research design and multistage sampling, underscores its scientific merit. The clear presentation of results in tabular format provides a comprehensive understanding of how various integrated farming systems influence income. Highlighting percentage changes in income for different farming combinations, the study offers practical insights for policymakers and agricultural practitioners. Identifying impactful farming systems and recommending their continuation for sustainable development make this manuscript a valuable addition to agricultural economics. In essence, it fills a critical knowledge gap, shedding light on the economic implications of integrated farming systems, not only for the specific region but with broader relevance for agricultural communities and policymakers.</p> <p>2- Yes, the title of the article, "Impact of Integrated Farming System on Doubling Farmers' Income," is suitable and clearly communicates the focus of the study. It effectively indicates the central theme of exploring how integrated farming systems contribute to increasing farmers' income. The title is concise and straightforward, providing a clear indication of the research's primary objective. If there were any consideration for a slight modification, one could consider a title like "Enhancing Farmer Incomes through Integrated Farming Systems in Maharashtra's Konkan Region." This alternative title maintains clarity while slightly expanding on the geographical context and emphasizing the goal of income enhancement through integrated farming systems.</p> <p>3- Yes, the abstract of the article is comprehensive. It gives a quick and clear overview of the study, outlining its main goal: understanding the impact of integrated farming systems on doubling farmers' income in the Konkan region of Maharashtra. The abstract also briefly describes the methodology, key findings, and the significance of the results. It effectively provides a snapshot of the entire research, making it easy for readers to grasp the essence of the study without delving into the details.</p> <p>4- Yes, the structure of the manuscript is well-organized. It follows a logical flow with clear subsections like Abstract, Introduction, Methodology, Results and Discussion, Conclusion, and References. Each section is appropriately titled, making it easy for readers to navigate and understand the content. The use of subsections under Results and Discussion, such as "Impact of integrated farming system on doubling farmers' income" and "Average Change occurred in income due to IFS," further enhances clarity by breaking down the information. Overall, the manuscript's structure is appropriate and facilitates a straightforward reading experience.</p> <p>5- Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct. The research follows a sound methodology, including an "Ex-Post-Facto" research design and appropriate statistical techniques. The data analysis and presentation of results are logically conducted, contributing to the scientific credibility of the study. The findings and conclusions drawn align with the research objectives, and the overall approach adheres to established scientific standards.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)

- 6- 6- The references provided are relevant to the study and contribute to the scholarly background. However, it's advisable to consider including more recent references, if available, to enhance the current relevance of the paper. Recent studies, especially those published within the last 2-3 years, could further strengthen the literature review and ensure that the manuscript is up-to-date with the latest research in the field. It's recommended to explore recent publications that specifically focus on integrated farming systems and their impact on income in the context of Indian agriculture.

- Consider refining the abstract for clarity on the study's unique contribution. Visual aids like charts could enhance data understanding in the Results section. Maintain accessible language, ensure consistent citations, and expand the conclusion for practical implications. A final grammar check will polish the manuscript, which is already well-structured and informative.

Review Form 1.7

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. Yes, the language and English quality of the article are appropriate for scholarly communications. The manuscript is well-written, with clear and concise language, making it accessible to a broad audience. The text is professionally presented, adhering to scholarly writing standards.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The manuscript demonstrates a strong foundation in agricultural economics and offers valuable insights into the impact of integrated farming systems on farmers' income in the Konkan region. The clarity of language and well-structured presentation enhance its accessibility. Consider minor refinements for further clarity in the abstract and potential inclusion of visual aids for data comprehension. Overall, it's a commendable contribution to the field, and the suggested enhancements aim to bolster its impact and accessibility.</p> <p>Reviewer's comment: The paper you've submitted is well-structured and covers an important topic: how different farming methods affect farmers' incomes in Maharashtra's Konkan region. I appreciate the effort put into this research. The introduction provides a good historical background on Indian economy which is based on agriculture and sets the proper methods for understanding the significance of your study. The objectives are clear, and your methods, like using a research design called "Ex-Post-Facto" and choosing a diverse group of farmers for your study, seem appropriate. The data driven stage by personnel efforts make the proper results in an accurate manner. Your results, presented in tables, make it understandable for the readers to how different farming combinations impact income. The discussion that follows provides some interesting insights into the findings, especially about which farming systems seem to work best for increasing income. Your conclusion is concise and makes a strong case for continuing and expanding successful farming methods. It's clear you've put thought into this study. One thing to consider is making the abstract a bit clearer, especially about why your study is unique or important. Also, it could be helpful to explain the findings in simpler terms for readers who may not be experts in agriculture. The abstract should have clear objective of your study. Overall, your paper is well-researched and has the potential to make a valuable contribution to the field of agricultural economics. After addressing these minor points, it should be ready for publication.</p> <p>For more details on the journal's guidelines, you can check the link provided: https://www.journalacri.com/index.php/ACRI/editorial-policy.</p>	

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Syed Farasat Ali Shah</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>Bahauddin Zakariya University, Pakistan</p>