

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Engineering Research and Reports</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JERR_113488</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Design, Implementation, Testing, and Evaluation of an Arduino-Based Mosquito Repellent System</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Method Article</b>

**PART 1:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b>
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p><b>Yes, it is important.</b></p> <p><b>Yes, it is suitable.</b></p> <p><b>Yes, it is comprehensive.</b></p> <p><b>Yes. But should be summarised.</b></p> <p><b>Yes. It is scientifically correct.</b></p> <p><b>Yes, sufficient, and current.</b></p> <p><b>The abstract should written without aims, study, design etc in the abstract.</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes, it is suitable for scholarly communication.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>1. There is no programming information about the project. The input and output pins to the Arduino and the programming of it should be included in the methodology section.</p> <p>2. The result of the testing should also be included in the testing section. Indicating that the device has been able to drive a way mosquito.</p> <p>What should be deleted is <b>red</b>.            What should be summarised and rewritten is <b>blue</b>.            What should be added (I have already added) is <b>green</b>.            Omissions (Program for the project). <b>Purple</b></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Cynthia Ama Quarcoo</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>National Nuclear Research Institute, Ghana</b>