

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_113057
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation, Flowering behavior Physico-chemical and Keeping quality of Custard apple : A Review
Type of the Article	Dataset

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. The manuscript is important for scientific community, since it give a new knowledge about Custard apple by doing evaluation of genotypes, flower behavior and physico-chemical characters for improved that farmers can satisfy from the production.</p> <p>2. The title is suitable for the study case.</p> <p>3. The abstract is very long, contains unneeded information's, it should be very brief and consists of small introduction about the plant and the study, experiment design, data collection and results obtained...so it needs to be rewrite.</p> <p>4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript are well appropriate, but paragraphs are too long, so I suggest that to be summarized.</p> <p>5. Yest, I think that the manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6. References are good and sufficient.</p> <p>Manuscript is well managed and prepared, but It need to be summarized to be smaller.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	The language is very good.	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	No	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Taleb Rateb Abu Zahra</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Al-Balqa Applied University, Jordan</b>