

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Neuropsychiatric Disease Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_INDJ_113223
Title of the Manuscript:	Tramadol Abuse among University Students in Khartoum State, 2018
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)	Yes, The topic of the manuscript is relevant to current research interests or pressing issues within the scientific community. As well as the findings presented in the manuscript have significant implications for further research, theory development, or practical applications.	
2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Many readers are unfamiliar with the province name, so the author can use the country's name instead. [Tramadol abuse among university students in Khartoum State, Sudan, in 2018]	
3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	In the abstract, under the methodology instead of this sentence "The collected data were statistically analyzed using Statistical Package for the Social Science version 16 programs."—Add statistical techniques used to analyze data. SPSS is only a tool. Results are presented well. But some typological errors are found. The authors might highlight the research's originality.	
4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Author can follow the journal guideline to structure the paper. Hower, it is suggested to have a separate literature section and theory related to this phenomenon. Furthermore, it is recommended to organise the discussion section by addressing each point in separate paragraphs. Conclusion: The conclusion need to supported by the results presented and need to write any limitations of the study acknowledged and addressed as well as future direction.	
5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?		
6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Poor display of content. The reference list has to be updated. It would be best to provide any Doi numbers that exist.	

Review Form 1.7

Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language editing is required.	
Optional/General comments	This is a good research project. After making those corrections, it can be published.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Wasantha Rajapakshe
Department, University & Country	SLIIT Business School, Sri Lanka Institute of Information Technology, Sri Lanka