

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_113474
Title of the Manuscript:	Studies on Chemical Weed Management Practices on Growth and Yield of Blackgram [Vigna Mungo (L.)]
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes, because it deals with a current subject and helps to bring a little extra to the management of grass cover in this crop.</p> <p>2. Yes, the title of the article is appropriate. It agrees with the results obtained</p> <p>3. Yes, the abstract is complete. it is written in simple language and highlights important results.</p> <p>4. Yes</p> <p>5. Yes</p> <p>6. The references are sufficient and recent. But the author can add at least 20 references, if he can.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. Yes, English quality of the article adapted to scientific communications</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>In the material and methods subsection, we do not see the material used. I think the author should cite the material (biological, chemical and technical) before telling us how he used them.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yapi Arnaud-Freddy
Department, University & Country	University of Peleforo Gon Coulybaly, Côte d'Ivoire