

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_111971
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of different genotypes and nitrogen levels on yield, nitrogen use efficiency, production economics and agro-meteorological parameters of fodder oat
Type of the Article	Primary manuscript

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. This manuscript is important if the authors state the problem facing in cultivating oat plant and at the end state how important this result to solve the problem</p> <p>2. The tittle has to be changed as stated in the manuscript, so that the reader will knows what will be the novelty</p> <p>3. The abstract has to be improved and added what is the problem in the last paragraph</p> <p>4. The structure is appropriate, but has to be added the condition in other countries and the result of other researchers</p> <p>5. The manuscript is sceintifically correct if the authors try to recompute the data and to discuss the interaction between genotype and nitrogen levels</p> <p>6. The references from abroad have tobe added</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	The English is suitable	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	The most important think to improve this manuscript is try to compute and discuss the interaction.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Elna Karmawati</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Indonesia</b>