

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Ophthalmology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRROP_113417
Title of the Manuscript:	DACRYOCYSTITIS COMPLICATED WITH ABSCESES: A CASE REPORT
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1) As a medical emergency there is a need for more and more research regarding Dacryocystitis in the form of case reports to get a comprehensive idea regarding various presentations and the outcomes of the treatments. So the present manuscript is an important contribution to scientific community.</p> <p>2) The title of the article is suitable and encompasses the manuscript content well. There is a small correction in the spelling, which I have corrected in the manuscript and highlighted it for reference.</p> <p>3) The attempt to provide a structured abstract to the case report is appreciable. Though a structured abstract will be more comprehensive if a small note on introduction and conclusion are added. I would suggest the side headings of 'Introduction', 'objective', 'Case', and 'conclusion' for this abstract.</p> <p>4) Structure of the manuscript is appropriate for the case report. I would suggest a recheck on the grammar, paragraph breakage points, and few punctuations. I could correct and highlighted a few for reference.</p> <p>5) As a case report on the emergency condition the flow of the case description is good, except for a few areas where a bit more information regarding other biochemical, clinical, and microbiological aspects regarding the case would have been appreciated.</p> <p>6) The references are recent and sufficient in number. But some of the references are not structured in Vancouver style which was attempted. Please review the structure of the citations.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1) As far as the language concerned, it is legible for scholarly communication but a revision with using elaborate sentences would bring more sophisticated appearance than it already has.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>With the intention of guiding the authors within my knowledge I did few corrections which I have promptly highlighted for your reference. The figures provided were of excellent quality but I feel that figure 3 and 4 should be changed in order. Please review. All the very best.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	V B Kasyapa Jannabhatla
Department, University & Country	Narayana Medical College and Hospital, India