

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Research in Agriculture and Forestry</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJRAF_113459</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Agroecological approaches to sustainable agriculture and rural development: A mini review</b>
Type of the Article	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li><b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li><b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>This manuscript is indeed important for the scientific community, as it provides a comprehensive overview of agroecology and its application to sustainable agriculture and rural development. It synthesizes concepts, principles, and approaches from various disciplines such as agronomy, ecology, sociology, and economics, offering valuable insights into the multidimensional nature of agroecology.</li> <li>The title of the article, "Agroecological approaches to sustainable agriculture and rural development: A mini review," is suitable as it accurately reflects the content of the manuscript. However, to make it more specific and informative, a slight modification could be made, such as: "Exploring Agroecological Approaches for Sustainable Agriculture and Rural Development: A Comprehensive Review."</li> <li>The abstract of the article is comprehensive as it succinctly summarizes the main topics covered in the manuscript, including the definition of agroecology, its principles, and various agroecological approaches.</li> <li>The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate, as they effectively organize the content into coherent sections. Each subsection delves into specific aspects of agroecology and its application, such as agroecological principles, approaches, and integrated assessment of agricultural systems. This organization facilitates easy navigation and comprehension of the material presented.</li> <li>Overall, the manuscript appears to be scientifically correct, as it draws upon established theories, concepts, and research findings within the field of agroecology. The authors provide references to support their assertions and arguments, lending credibility to the information presented. However, as with any scientific work, it is essential for readers to critically evaluate the content and consider alternative perspectives.</li> <li>The references included in the manuscript are sufficient and recent, covering a range of relevant literature on agroecology, sustainable agriculture, and rural development. However, to further enhance the depth and breadth of the literature review, additional references could be considered, particularly those published within the last few years that may offer further insights or updates on the topics discussed. Some potential additional references could include recent studies on innovative agroecological practices, case studies of successful agroecological initiatives, or policy recommendations for promoting sustainable agriculture.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	<p>The language and English quality of the article appear to be suitable for scholarly communication. The writing is clear, coherent, and demonstrates a good command of academic language. The authors effectively convey complex concepts and ideas related to agroecology and sustainable agriculture in a manner appropriate for scholarly discourse.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>One suggestion for improvement could be to further clarify certain sections, particularly those discussing specific agroecological approaches or principles. Providing more concrete examples or case studies could help illustrate how these concepts are applied in real-world contexts and enhance the practical relevance of the manuscript.</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>G. Naveen Kumar</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Acharya NG Ranga Agricultural University, India</b>