

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_113480
Title of the Manuscript:	Analysis of the effects of agency costs on Financial Performance of commercial banks listed at the Nairobi securities exchange in Mombasa County, Kenya
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. The manuscript looks important for the scientific community, but the author has to rewrite/convert the manuscript as per the sample of the article as published by AJEBA.</p> <p>2. The title of the article seems to be suitable, but a minor change in it might make more effective and attractive.</p> <p>3. Abstract lacks the components of it. It is suggested to rewrite this part with a lot of carefulness in a comprehensive way.</p> <p>4. These sections are not appropriately articulated. The structure and subsections are somehow edited in the manuscript. It is suggested to follow the IMRAD structure for the article.</p> <p>5. The manuscript is intended towards becoming scientifically correct but major corrections are required in it.</p> <p>6. No. References are not sufficient. Inclusion of the writings from the recent articles would add high value in the article. Hence, it is strongly recommended to incorporate more and recent articles.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The article seems like it has been converted from the thesis, but a lot of carefulness is required to make it publishable. Several mistakes have been encountered while going through the text, hence the language of the manuscript should be corrected to make it acceptable for the scholarly communications.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The author might have very short time in preparing the article. From literature review to methodology, a lot of works are required for making the article publishable. Since the operationalization of the variables and their measurement is lacking, the author has to pay considerable amount of attention for this. Discussion part is completely missing.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Janga Bahadur Hamal
Department, University & Country	Tribhuvan University, Nepal