

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJCRS_113710</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Adenoid cystic carcinoma of the external auditory canal</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Case report</b>

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>Though there are published case series in this entity, it is a rare tumour and its understanding can be beneficial for the scientific community.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Further proofreading required as there is repetition of sentences. Consistency in terminology needs to be maintained. At places Adenoid cystic carcinoma and at some places cystic adenoid carcinoma has been mentioned. There is no mention of parotidectomy in the abstract when it has been given importance in discussion and conclusion.</p> <p>Yes, structure is appropriate. Detailed proofreading is required as there is repetition of sentences throughout the manuscript. Consistency in terminology needs to be maintained. At places Adenoid cystic carcinoma and at some places cystic adenoid carcinoma has been mentioned. Abbreviations have been used without expansion being mentioned prior to it like EAC, ACC, SSG etc. Many paragraphs have been largely taken from a single article with minor editing (Refer to part 2 plagiarism section) One paragraph (Discussion Paragraph 8) has been entirely copied from this article.</p> <p>Largely yes. Needs proofreading and removal/editing of copied sections.</p> <p>Most of the references are older than 10years. Reference number 17 mentioned in the discussion is different from the reference mentioned in the list of references.</p> <p>Introduction: Reference 1 by Moody et al mentions carcinoma of temporal bone accounts for &lt;0.2% of head and neck tumors not malignant tumors of external acoustic meatus as mentioned by the author. (pdf attached to email) MAE is not a known abbreviation for malignant tumors of external acoustic meatus</p> <p>Figures: Figure 3 legend reads as CT of rocks. There seems to be a clerical error here. Figure 4 legend reads as tubular and cribriform aspects. Pattern is a better word that aspects to describe morphology.</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

	<b>Conclusion:</b> 'Exeresis' and 'Cervical to be discussed' did not make sense. Kindly elaborate or correct the error in the statements.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	No. Many repetition of sentences noted along with few grammatical mistakes.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Shabnam Karangadan
Department, University & Country	India