

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biochemistry, Genetics and Molecular Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJBGMB_112907
Title of the Manuscript:	Blood Gas Analysis in SARS-COV-2 Infected Patients Admitted to SMHS Hospital: a TERTIARY CARE Hospital in Kashmir
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. This paper discuss about only acid-base disturbances in the Covid -19 patients. But the detail of patients such as underlying disease, clinical situation, other lab data related to acid-base disturbance, and drug history of them were not discussed in this paper. I think, it has many limitations. 2. Yes, It is. 3. The abstract is unstructured, and is not suitable for original article. Please rewrite it based on acceptable format. Please use author guideline to help you. 4. No, it need revision. Authors should revise it based on Journal guideline. 5. Yes, It is. 6. The references are sufficient and up to date, but please write them based on journal guideline, also mention the number of them in reference section and in the main text (Vancouver format). 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. No, it needs major revision. 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The discussion section is too long, please move some sentences to introduction. Also, Mention the aim of study at the last paragraph in the introduction.</p> <p>The method section is too short and incomplete, recommendations:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. What were your inclusion and exclusion criteria? 2. How did you calculate the sample size? 3. What was your sampling method? 4. What were your study variables? When did the variables collect? At the first time of admission or other time? 5. Which device did you use for analysis the ABGs? Please mention the device specifications? Was your device calibrated? 6. What were your statistically analysis method and which tests did you use in this study? <p>The result section It is important that the diagnosis of ABGs were reported in the result section. Please mention them</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rahmani Farzad
Department, University & Country	Iran