

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAAR_112598
Title of the Manuscript:	Image Sensing, A Unique Tool for Early Detection of Nitrogen Deficiency of Tomato Grown in Hot and Humid Greenhouses
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</li> <li>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</li> <li>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</li> <li>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</li> </ol> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Yes offcourse the technique of image sensing used in this research work is very usefull in biology especially in biomedical and agriculture sciences. This will be helpfull for scientific community to diagnose certain other issues in plants/animals by using Author's experience from this work.</li> <li>2. Absolutely the title suggested by the Author is very much suitable relevant to the nature of their work.</li> <li>3. As the abstract is the main theme of any research work, as a reviewer i found this abstract comprehensive and according to the research work. The way of writing the abstract was good and easily explained their nature of work along with their findings.</li> <li>4. Yes, Subsections and structure of the manuscript is according to Author's guidlines as suggested by the journal.</li> <li>5. Yes, manuscript is scientifically correct as it is written in scientific way/method.</li> <li>6. Some of the refrences are as earlier than 2010 and a few are before 2000. In my view/suggestion all the refrences should be recent as later than 2010 to onwards. Overall all references are related and authentic.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Yes language quality is suiatable for scholarly/scientific community.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>I am very happy to say that this manuscript is very informative for scientific community especially using image sensing in this era of technology to find out any issue in biota of ecosystem.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Muhammad Ahmed Saqib</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Agriculture, Pakistan</b>