

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Archives of Current Research International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_ACRI_112810</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>How does Socio-economic status impact on Domestic Violence against Women? Evidence from Eastern India</b>
Type of the Article	

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p><b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p><b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p><b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p><b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p><b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. Yes, the paper is somehow important for scientific community but the author needs refurbish it by adding more details (explanations, technical discourse).</p> <p>2. The title reformulated not in the form of a question. Better to rephrase is as follows: "Impact of Socio-economic status on Domestic Violence against Women: Evidence from Eastern India"</p> <p>3. The abstract is not really comprehensive as it lack some important details especially regarding methodology</p> <p>4. The structure and subsections are somehow appropriate subject to some improvement; the literature review and the methodology sections needs to be divided into subsections. Some sections under methodology need to be relocated where they fit</p> <p>5. The manuscript is somehow scientifically correct, but the author needs to improve on it. Especially the statement of the problem, methodology and findings/discussion</p> <p>6. The references are enough but there some sections of the manuscript with no/very few references. In addition, some references are very old. So, the author needs to add more references in some sections and remove the old references.</p> <p><b>Other comments:</b> -The author must go through the paper and address all the comments in the commentary box. -Some sections in the paper need serious revision for improvement: abstract, introduction, statement of the problem, methodology, findings/discussion</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The language use is suitable, but the author need to revise it thoroughly to correct all the typographical errors.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The topic of the paper is good and researchable. However, more improvement should b made to ensure the quality, suitability, significance and readership of the paper.</p>	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Sikubwabo Cyprien</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Kigali, Rwanda</b>