

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JEAI_111203</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Timing of High-Energy Supplementation to Forage Fed Continuous Culture</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b>
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Yes, the beef and dairy cattle are commonly free grazed in the pastures. The animals are also normally supplemented with high fermentable concentrated feed. Nutrient composition and timing of supplemented feed offered have a significant effect on microbial development in the rument for efficient nutrient (crude protein) utilization and fiber digestibility of forages.</p> <p>Yes, but the title should be more specified to pasture season: I suggest: <b>"Timing of High-Energy Supplementation to Forage Feed Continuous Culture in the Early-Season Pasture"</b>.</p> <p>Yes, the abstract has been well prepared.</p> <p>Yes, but the discussions miss reference. It would be better for authors to explore and cited current relevant refences in the results and discussion.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>No, but the authors need to explore more relevant references in the results and discussion.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The present research and article have been well prepared and done. The research objectives have been formulated and described to be relevant with problem identification in the background and methodology to come with relevant conclusion. However, for better quality of the manuscript, data in the Table 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6 should be completed with the value of standard deviation (Sd) or coefficient variation (CV). The authors should discuss the research findings by citing and exploring relevant references in the results &amp; discussion. It is based on the Editorial Policy of JEAI which stated in the General Guidelines for Peer Review Process about Results &amp; Discussion point 2: 2. Authors should provide relevant and current references during discussion.</p>	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b>
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Khalil</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Andalas University, Indonesia</b>