

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Microbiology Research Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_MRJI_113544
Title of the Manuscript:	METHICILLIN-RESISTANT STAPHYLOCOCCUS AUREUS AMONG POULTRY IN UMUAHIA, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> This manuscript shows facts about Staphylococcus resistance in Poultry in Nigeria. This data is useful for recommendations for both the livestock and food communities, due to its impact on human health. This manuscript is important for the basis of recommendations for responsible behavior regarding antibiotic administration in poultry. The title of the manuscript already describes well the overall research data presented The abstract contains all the required parts, but needs to be tidied up so that the background appears at the beginning of the paragraph. I found the last part of the abstract is part of the background of the research. The subsections and structure of this manuscript do not yet match the required template. The writing of figure names, table names and their captions need to be completed. The font chosen is not in accordance with the provisions. The final part of the manuscript has not been completed with ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS, COMPETING INTERESTS, AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS, dan ETHICAL APPROVAL Yes, This manuscript is scientifically correct, but it is necessary to correct the data presented that does not match the written narrative with the table. The references used are not up-to-date, as 95% come from sources published more than 5 years ago. <p>Additional comments and some predictions of data misrepresentation were added in the file manuscript as a comment view</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>So far the language is well acceptable for the scientific community</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ana Mardyaningsih
Department, University & Country	Politeknik Kesehatan Bhakti Setya Indonesia, Indonesia