

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_112719
Title of the Manuscript:	Postharvest losses and Management of horticultural produce A review
Type of the Article	Review Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The research is relevant as it addresses post-harvest losses of fruits and vegetables in India, showing significant losses at all stages, from harvest to storage. With significant percentages of losses at all stages, from harvesting (3.6% to 4.6% for items such as apples and tapioca) to storage (up to 18% for guava), the study highlights the need for improvements in handling and storage practices. The analysis suggests that specific interventions at each stage can considerably reduce total food loss, which ranges from 5.8% for sapota to 18% for guava, positively impacting food security and the economy. The title is pertinent, clearly reflecting the content of the work. The structure of the manuscript is well organized, promoting fluid and understandable reading. From a scientific point of view, the manuscript is robust, supported by a solid methodology and relevant evidence. Current references are comprehensive, comprising a comprehensive literary review. Therefore, the study is recommended for publication, given its relevance and contribution to the field of study in question.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>.....</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>.....</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Virgínia Mirtes de Alcântara Silva
Department, University & Country	Brasil