

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_112719
Title of the Manuscript:	Postharvest losses and Management of horticultural produce A review
Type of the Article	Review Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Greetings and congratulations! Your paper titled "A Review of Postharvest Losses and Management in Horticultural Produce" is an excellent match for our journal. It addresses a pertinent issue at a time when we are all looking for ways to extend the freshness of horticultural produce. The specific focus on this area adds substantial significance to your research, and your emphasis on both horticultural produce and preservation aligns seamlessly with the journal's commitment to advocating practical solutions in agriculture. I am optimistic that your study will make a meaningful contribution to both the scientific and agricultural communities. Welcome aboard our journal!</p> <p>Indeed, the chosen research title is apt for the study. On the whole, the title is notable for capturing attention and precisely reflecting the study's core. The potential influence within the scientific community hinges on the harmony between the promised implications in the title and the actual content, coupled with a proficient writing style. When these components harmonize effectively, the paper is poised to receive favorable reception and make a significant impact in the realm of science.</p> <p>The abstract of the article provides a comprehensive summary, succinctly encapsulating the vital content and discoveries presented in the paper.</p> <p>The organization of the manuscript and the coherence among its subsections underscore the author's adeptness in structuring intricate information. The text consistently showcases the author's critical thinking and evaluative skills, proficiently utilizing academic sources to formulate well-supported judgments and conclusions on complex issues. The comprehensive review of pertinent literature and adept engagement with key theories highlight a profound understanding of the research domain.</p> <p>This manuscript distinguishes itself through a rigorous scientific approach. The author exhibits a profound comprehension of the current literature regarding the preservation of horticultural produce, seamlessly integrating it into the research fabric. The research methodology is marked by a meticulous attention to detail, ensuring accuracy and reliability in the findings. The selected data sources not only align with the research objectives but also showcase exceptional quality, thereby bolstering the overall validity of the study. The conclusions are underpinned by explicit and compelling evidence, leaving minimal room for skepticism.</p> <p>Overall, your bibliography is appropriate; however, there is a minor error in your list of references. Please refer to the comments provided below.</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The manuscript exhibits commendable language proficiency and use of English. With a few minor revisions aimed at enhancing clarity, conciseness, and overall coherence, the text has the potential to meet the publication standards of a scholarly journal. Please review the highlighted instances for your consideration and make the necessary adjustments.</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Add more appropriate key words such as post-harvest losses and horticultural produce storage. 2. Add suggestions for future research to you conclusion. 3. The list of reference below has not been formatted using the appropriate format. Avoid using capital letters throughout. <p>CONDRAȚCHI, and MOVILEANU, (2012). THE ROLE OF TRANSPORT MEANS USED IN THE TRANSPORTATION OF FRESH HORTICULTURAL PRODUCTS. SCIENTIFIC PAPERS, 35.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Lawrence Kabuthi Kabinga
Department, University & Country	School of Business, The Unicaf University, Kenya