

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Neuropsychiatric Disease Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_INDJ_113328
Title of the Manuscript:	Substance Abuse among Male Adolescents in Dhaka North City: A Comparative Study on Causes, Consequences, Knowledge, and Attitudes
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Manuscripts can contribute to the body of knowledge by highlighting practical implications for real-world applications, policy decisions, or professional practice. 2. Overall, the title concisely communicates the subject matter and objectives of the study, making it informative and relevant for readers interested in the topic of substance abuse among male adolescents. 3. the abstract appears comprehensive as it covers multiple aspects related to substance abuse among male adolescents in Dhaka North City. It outlines the aims, study design, methodology, results, and conclusion of the research. 4. It is recommended that researchers formulate research questions by the objectives of the study. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It suggests that researchers should consider the nature of their research questions and the type of data they have collected when determining which statistical techniques to apply. By doing so, researchers can ensure that their analyses are tailored to the specific objectives of the study, allowing for meaningful interpretation of the results and accurate conclusions to be drawn. • In the Methodology subsection, it is essential to provide a detailed explanation of the procedures and techniques employed to conduct the study. This includes describing the research design, data collection methods, sampling techniques, and tools or instruments utilized for data analysis. 5. Reviewing Demographics: Before delving into the analysis, it is crucial to report on the demographic characteristics of the study participants. This includes providing details such as age, gender, educational background, socioeconomic status, and any other relevant demographic variables. Understanding the demographic profile of the sample helps contextualize the findings and identify any potential biases or patterns within the data. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Aligning Analysis with Research Questions: The analysis should be structured in a way that directly addresses the research questions or objectives outlined in the study. Each research question should be systematically examined using appropriate statistical or qualitative techniques, depending on the nature of the data and the research design. By aligning the analysis with the research questions, researchers can ensure that the findings directly contribute to answering the research inquiries and providing meaningful insights into the phenomenon under investigation. • Table Alignment with Analysis: Tables or figures presenting the results should be carefully structured to align with the analysis conducted for each research question. This entails organizing the data in a logical and coherent manner, with clear labels and headings that correspond to the specific research inquiries. Each table should provide a succinct summary of the relevant findings related to a particular research question, facilitating easy interpretation and comparison across different variables or conditions. 6. The references provided in the manuscript do not adhere to the AMA (American Medical Association) style guidelines. Thus, it is imperative to amend the references to conform to the AMA style guidelines, which usually entail author names, publication titles, journal names, volume numbers, page numbers, and publication years presented in a standardized format 	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>Overall, the language used is suitable for academic writing and easy to understand</p>	

Review Form 1.7

Optional/General comments	<p>Aim and Research Questions: The primary objectives of the study, as well as the specific questions guiding the research, need to be revised. This may involve clarifying the focus, refining the scope, or ensuring that the aims are clearly articulated and achievable.</p> <p>Methodology Review: The methodology section requires careful reconsideration. This involves assessing whether the chosen research methods are appropriate for addressing the research questions and objectives. It may entail refining the study design, adjusting data collection procedures, or enhancing the rigor of the methodology.</p> <p>Analysis Reconstruction: The analysis needs to be reexamined and modified to better align with the research questions. This may involve restructuring the data analysis process, revising statistical techniques, or interpreting findings in light of the research objectives.</p> <p>Inclusion of Discussion Subsection: A discussion section should be added to the manuscript. This section allows the authors to interpret the results, contextualize findings within existing literature, discuss implications, and suggest avenues for future research.</p> <p>References Check: The references cited throughout the manuscript should be reviewed to ensure accuracy, consistency, and adherence to the required citation style. This involves verifying the completeness of references, correcting any errors or discrepancies, and confirming that all cited sources are relevant and appropriately cited.</p>	
----------------------------------	--	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohamad Hashim Othman
Department, University & Country	School of Educational Studies, Universiti Sains Malaysia, Malaysia