

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>International Neuropsychiatric Disease Journal</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_INDJ_113328</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Substance Abuse among Male Adolescents in Dhaka North City: A Comparative Study on Causes, Consequences, Knowledge, and Attitudes</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b>
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Yes, the topic is interesting and innovative</p> <p>The title is interesting and innovative</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>They are sufficient but not well referenced. Kindly do it according to the right referencing style.</p> <p><b>Additional suggestions/comments</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- It was stated that data was collected through face-to-face interviews using a structured questionnaire. A revision is needed since you are dealing with quantitative data.</li> <li>- the comparative cross-sectional study design needs to be justified</li> <li>- the use of purposive sampling needs justification</li> <li>- on the discussion sessions, I suggest that a rigorous comparison with existing literature (Previous studies) needs to be done.</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The manuscript is well written and should be published provided the recommended changes are made.</p>	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b>
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Kennedy Nyeseh Ofori</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Wesley University College of Education, Ghana</b>