

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_113438
Title of the Manuscript:	Exploitation of buckwheat (<i>Fagopyrum esculentum</i> Moench) grains and for physical and functional properties
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Work is a characterization of some physical and functional properties of wheat grains. However, I consider that its experimental phase is very limited.</p> <p>Adequate</p> <p>Adequate</p> <p>It is necessary to include, establish the design of experiments, specifying the characteristics of each treatment. In addition, from the analysis of variance, if there was a significant difference, what type of mean comparison test was used</p> <p>A better description, discussion and comparison of the results is needed.</p> <p>It is recommended to update the references, because more than 50% are less than 2010</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Adequate</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The work could be considered for publication, but major modifications would be necessary.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Lucio González Montiel
Department, University & Country	Institute of Food Technology, Universidad de la Cañada, México