

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSSPN_112894
Title of the Manuscript:	THE IMPACTS OF ABATTOIR WASTES ON SOIL QUALITY AT UKWUNWANGWU, UTURU, ABIA STATE, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)	Yes the manuscript is important for the scientific community. The title suggests a specific study focusing on The Impacts of Abattoir Wastes on Soil Quality at Ukwunwangwu, Uturu, Abia State, Nigeria under several reasons to deal with Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA), Public Health Concerns, Agricultural Productivity, Policy Development, Community Awareness and Scientific Advancement	
2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes the title is good. Here are some suggestions for the title "The Impacts of Abattoir Wastes on Soil Quality at Ukwunwangwu, Nigeria" can also be recommended.	
3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	Yes. The abstract of the article is comprehensive enough. But addition of one or two points relevant to research gap will enhance the article in a scientific manner.	
4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Overall, that each section is well-organized, with a clear connection between different elements of the manuscript. But there is no need of aim and objective to be included in the article.	
5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?	Yes, the methodology adopted in the system is scientifically acceptable. But the statistical tool used in the study and data analysis part needs to be addressed.	
6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.  <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	Yes the references in the manuscript are proper enough. But, the author need to incorporate more references related to the present and recent studies in order to correlate with other similar scientific studies.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications. But, there is some minor grammatical mistakes in the article.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Exclusion of Aim and Objective part and inclusion of statistical tool and data analysis part will enhance the article in a holistic manner.	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>S. Navaneetha Krishnan</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, India</b>