

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSSPN_112365
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of organic sources and mineral N fertilizer on soil fertility and Coriander plant (<i>Coriandrum sativum</i> L.) productivity and quality under saline soil.
Type of the Article	Review Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The manuscript "Evaluation of organic sources and mineral N fertilizer on soil fertility and Coriander plant (<i>Coriandrum sativum</i> L.) productivity and quality under saline soil" is relevant to researchers and soil scientists</p> <p>The title of the article reflects the work done. It is very sustainable</p> <p>The abstract is comprehensive</p> <p>Subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate</p> <p>The manuscript is very relevant with current research. There is a gradual shift from the use of chemical fertilizers to organic fertilizers in crop cultivation hence this paper is well integrated with current research and it is scientifically correct</p> <p>All the references cited in the body of this paper appear in the reference list. They are sufficient in this context</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The grammar in this manuscript is acceptable and above average. It is suitable for scholarly communications</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. Overall, the paper is acceptable for publication.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Joseph Ekenwosu
Department, University & Country	Imo State University, Nigeria