

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Orthopaedic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJORR_112319
Title of the Manuscript:	Giant Primary Spinal bony extra-axial tumors- case series and literature review
Type of the Article	Case study

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The Topic is important</p> <p>The title has gaps and weaknesses</p> <p>The abstract is not relevant it talks about other issue which is patient satisfaction</p> <p>Subsection are not good enough</p> <p>It has lots of Gaps to be addressed</p> <p>Some of the references are very old more than 30 years</p> <p>1. The Title dose not reelect sense of time place and methodology 2. In the abstract the author talking about patient satisfaction which was an other issue with different sample and different study design 3. The author one time said the design is case series other time said cross section and third time said retrospective analysis which was very confusing 4. In the case presentation the author talk about 27 case and exclude 5 so it will be 22 but he is only saying 9 cases without any explanation 5. Policy implications and recommendation was not formulated 6. The abstract is totally different from the case presentation two different issues</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>acceptable</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The study needs major revision to consider the above mentioned concerns</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hamid Yahya Hussain
Department, University & Country	United Arab Emirates