

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRS_112338
Title of the Manuscript:	Occlusion of the small intestine associated with acute radiation enteritis: case report
Type of the Article	Case report

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes ( It is good initiative)</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>No, actually abstract is not well structured, and not justified the title as well as the whole body of case report.</p> <p>No. The acute radiation enteritis is not possible with 3 fractions of radiation ever. In Introduction, it is gall bladder case, in description it is described as a carcinoma of endometrium, so diagnosis need to be cleared. Also, the introduction and description of the manuscript is not justifying the title and facts.</p> <p>The author has not described anywhere a proper a radiation treatment procedure, about dose prescription, treatment modality, contouring, treatment units and so many things. Neither, the doses received by organs at risk been provided, so to say about the radiation complexity is difficult due to radiation.</p> <p>References are very old years for justifications (before 2015) and only one reference is of 2020. However, number of references are fine, it may be reduced also (10 to 15 numbers are sufficient).</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>It is average. Scientifically it should be corrected</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The case reports needs major revision.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Priyanka Agarwal</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>KMC Superspeciality Hospital and Research Center, India</b>