

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAAR_113056
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF MULCHING AS A WEED MANAGEMENT STRATEGY IN FIELD PRODUCTION OF FRENCH BEANS (<i>Phaseolus vulgaris</i> L) IN KENYA.
Type of the Article	Agricultural Field

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Comments regarding Technical Knowledge</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ In the Abstract It would be better the highest productivity(kg/ha) without any mulch so that reader can easily get the impact of Mulch ➤ The authors have to consider the previous soil moisture, CAN, DAP levels. ➤ For conducting experiment wither the authors consider the same type of soil, not mentioned at anywhere in the work. ➤ What is the impact of other colour mulch in the productivity ➤ What is the use and impact of ANOVA, LSD, ➤ Is hight of the plant / no of branches /no of leaves and productivity is always dependable (must consider number of cases and take average) ➤ What is the drawback or challenges in using block Polythène mulch ➤ Table 1 and 2 (values after a. b. c. d) need detailed explanation. ➤ Need to Furnish Conclusion (It should be clear for the readers effect factor of polyethene/ Grass mulch use) ➤ What is the future scope of this work. Every research paper must have future scope. <p>Comments regarding non-Technical:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ French beans (<i>Phaseolus vulgaris</i> L), <i>Phaseolus vulgaris</i> L Word was mentionné many times, which seems to be not required ➤ Citations must be in ascending order Ex: starts from [1],[2], [3].... ➤ In Results and Discretions section authors used Figure 5 and 6 instead of 3a and 3b. ➤ Figure names can be represented in better manner. (Example: Figure 3: (a)name (b) name instead separate 3a at once and 3b at once, if both are not related use figure 3 and figure 4) <p>Note: Work is good but lacking in Technology (like algorithms, methodology)</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ravikiran K
Department, University & Country	Gokaraju Rangaraju Institute of Engineering and Technology, India