

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Advances in Research</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AIR_112458</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Deep Learning Approach for Classification of Tweets in Detecting Cyber Truculent</b>
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li><b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li><b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The script or type of research studied is a fairly trendy phenomenon today, it is very important to study substantively so that readers can implement the deep learning model in cyberspace life.</li> <li>The title has specifically represented the contents of the manuscript including the methodology and research GAP.</li> <li>The abstract should be made into one sentence that is important from each sub chapter. For example, research objectives, methodology, sample and population, data analysis techniques, results to conclusions.</li> <li>The main part of the manuscript is in accordance with the provisions of the journal, but there is no discussion, acknowledgments and authors' contributions that need to be filled in so that the detailed information of the article is complete.</li> <li>Substantively, the manuscript still needs to discuss a lot of similar and relevant research results so that it reaches scientific standards, as evidenced by the number of references that still need to be increased to produce a good manuscript.</li> <li>The references are still too minimal (10 references) and above 5 years so that the quality of the Library analysis is low, it is better to add a literature review because the research studies carried out are quite a lot to be found in existing repositories, then look for the latest references at least 2019-2023 so that the quality and information are new.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	The language of the article / manuscript is in accordance with the rules and scientific communication	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	-	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Muhammad Rafli</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Makassar State University, Indonesia</b>