

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_113394
Title of the Manuscript:	Second Victim: Health Managers' Perceptions
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Yes, this manuscript is important for the scientific community as it seeks to address a critical yet often overlooked aspect of healthcare: the well-being of healthcare professionals affected by adverse events, known as "second victims." It sheds light on the challenges faced by healthcare institutions in promoting a culture of safety and providing adequate support for personnel involved in adverse events. Also, it contributes valuable insights that can inform policies, practices, and interventions aimed at improving patient safety and the overall well-being of healthcare professionals.</p> <p>2. The article title accurately captures the focus of the manuscript, which centers on how health managers perceive and support victims, in healthcare settings. However to make it more precise and clear a possible alternative title could be; "Addressing Adverse Events; Insights from Health Managers and Strategies to Support Second Victims, in Healthcare Institutions."</p> <p>3. Yes, the abstract of the article provides a comprehensive overview of the study, including its objectives, methods, key findings, and implications. It effectively summarizes the main points of the manuscript, making it accessible to readers and conveying the significance of the research within the context of patient safety and healthcare management.</p> <p>4. Yes, the subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate as they effectively organize the content into manageable sections, facilitating comprehension and navigation for readers.</p> <p>5. The study described in the manuscript is qualitative in nature. It utilizes a descriptive exploratory approach with qualitative methods, such as semi-structured interviews, to understand the perceptions of health managers and explore strategies for supporting second victims in healthcare settings.</p> <p>6. While the provided references are pertinent to the manuscript's topic and mostly recent, the inclusion of only 18 references may not offer a comprehensive exploration of the subject matter. To provide a more thorough understanding, the author could consider incorporating articles discussing controversies surrounding the term "second victim," thereby enhancing the background and introduction of the study. Below are suggested DOIs for additional references: 10.1177/1403494819855506; 10.1136/bmj.l1233; 10.1097/PTS.000000000000129; 10.1097/PTS.0000000000000589; 10.2147/AMEP.S185912; 10.1097/PTS.0000000000000558; 10.2196/jmir.7840; 10.1308/rcsbull.2022.26; 10.48687/LSJ.V211.50; 10.3390/ijerph18105080; 10.1016/j.apnr.2020.151319;</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, the language and English quality of the article are suitable for scholarly communication. The text is clear, coherent, and effectively conveys the research findings and implications in a manner appropriate for academic discourse.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The authors may wish to disclose any potential conflicts of interest, specify the contributions of each team member, and provide information regarding the availability of supplementary materials.</p> <p>The manuscript demonstrates a comprehensive exploration of an important aspect of healthcare management, providing valuable insights into the perceptions of health managers and strategies for supporting second victims. Your thorough analysis contributes significantly to the understanding of patient safety culture and the well-being of healthcare professionals.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mwanja Moses
Department, University & Country	Mengo Hospital, Uganda