

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_112981
Title of the Manuscript:	ANATOMICAL STUDY OF SEPTAL APERTURE (SUPRATHROCLEAR FORAMEN) IN HUMAN HUMERUS IN A POPULATION FROM SOUTHWEST REGION OF BRAZIL
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1- The manuscript is about Septal Aperture of human Skeleton in Brazilian people. Since it is out of my specialty, I can tell nothing about its importance for scientific community.</p> <p>2- Yes, it is, but being parenthesis in the title of manuscript is not common.</p> <p>3- Yes, it is, but it is long (298 words), also in its Aim was mention about the correlation, which was not seen in the text.</p> <p>4- Result and discussion should be two different sections.</p> <p>5- No Idea. Far from my specialty.</p> <p>6- Thet are sufficient but some of them are old.</p> <p>7- ANOVA Is for comparison of mean between more than two cases or opulation. The authors used it for comparison between Genders.</p> <p>8- The percents should be with one decimal place everywhere.</p> <p>9- Sex should replace with gender everywhere.</p> <p>10- The number of figures should be limited.</p> <p>11-whst was measured in tabe 1?</p> <p>12-What is percentil in table 1, and what was measured?</p> <p>13- The first paragraph after table 1 is not clear.</p> <p>14- No complete comparison with other studies.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, it is suitable.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Masoud Roudbari
Department, University & Country	Iran University of Medical Sciences, Iran