

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_112976
Title of the Manuscript:	MELATONIN AND GYNECOLOGIC DISORDERS: A REVIEW OF STUDIES PUBLISHED BETWEEN 2011 AND 2022
Type of the Article	Review Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. This manuscript is important for the world of research, as material to strengthen scientific knowledge in the field of scientific work and can become literature on treating gynecological problems using complete and comprehensive melatonin treatment for further research. 2. there is a little that needs to be improved : LITERATUR REVIEW : MELATONIN AND GYNECOLOGIC DISORDERS 3. The abstract is quite complete, all you need to do is clarify the purpose or problem formulation 4. Systematically the writing is quite good, only a few revisions in the results section should be included in the discussion 5. Yes I agree 6. The references used are quite representative, but in terms of the length of the reference year, there are still some references that are quite old (more than 10 years) 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	Grammatically, I quite understand the words written by the author, but I do not have the capacity to judge grammatical accuracy according to correct rules	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	In general, the manuscript is good, but there are several things that could be improved, including the abstract, rearrange the introduction according to the main problem, use the latest references from the past 10 years.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Husnul Khotimah
Department, University & Country	Universitas Faletahan, Indonesia