“A Review on organic manure and plant growth promoting rhizobacteria use in horticulture crops”

Abstract:-

The sustainable and eco-friendly management of horticulture crops has become increasingly imperative in contemporary agricultural practices. This review synthesizes current knowledge on the synergistic utilization of organic manure and plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) to enhance the growth, yield, and overall health of horticulture crops. Organic manures, derived from natural sources such as compost, animal manure, and green manure, offer a sustainable alternative to synthetic fertilizers, providing essential nutrients while promoting soil fertility and structure.

Keywords: -organic manure, PGPR, plant growth, Beneficial and Harmful PGPR.

Introduction

The integration of PGPR, a diverse group of beneficial microorganisms residing in the rhizosphere, further accentuates the sustainable horticultural practices. These bacteria contribute to plant growth promotion through various mechanisms, including nitrogen fixation, phosphate solubilization, production of phytohormonal, and suppression of plant pathogens. The review systematically explores the interactions between organic manure and PGPR, shedding light on the mechanisms that underpin their combined impact on plant growth and crop productivity. The strategies for this arrangement are exceptionally old and well-known among ranchers. Farmers now place a better importance on natural fertilizers than on chemical fertilizers, while in the past, they used chemical fertilizers to take full advantage of crop yield. In this age, synthetic composts have such an excess of unfavorably affected on the land that the yield of the harvest has expanded however the flare-up of illnesses and nuisances has expanded in the cereals, that is the reason the vast majority of the ranchers have now turned towards normal manures rather than compound manures (TNAU, 2016).

A few researchers investigated a combination of compound and natural composts. It surveyed the incorporated impact of poultry fertilizer (PM) and dairy cattle excrement (CM) with (CF) for example urea on soil properties, plant physiology, and rice grain yield. As a result, (PM) or (CM)—poultry manure with 70% N from CF—urea and 30% N from cattle manure—is a promising alternative for increasing rice grain yield and soil quality. Besides, our review gives a practical supplement to the executives who intend to increment rice yield with high N use proficiency (Iqbal, et al., 2020).

About organic manure:-

Organic fertilizers are defined as substances that provide plant nutrients in their readily available form and have a distinct chemical composition with a high analytical value. (Gupta 2004). Natural manures are composts obtained from creature matter, human revile, or vegetable matter (for example fertilizer, excrement). Natural manures are made with normal unrefined substances; Most of the time, it's about our biodegradable wet suit. Generally, fertilizer is made by breaking down biodegradable squanders. These squanders incorporate paper, leaves, natural product peelings leftover food varieties, and even natural product juices. Natural manures make a decent expansion to the dirt. It prepares the soil for planting by making it reachable.

Source of organic fertilizer: - The supernatural composts were obtained from peat, creature squanders (frequently from slaughterhouses), and plant squanders from agribusiness and sewage slop. Organic fertilizers that are found naturally include slurry, peat, and meat-processing animal waste. Compounds containing carbon boost plant productivity and quality of growth with organic fertilizers. Organic fertilizers are complex compounds that add numerous secondary and micro-nutrients, not chemicals that have been simplified or purified. Organics like fertilizers, powdered rocks (like lime, rock phosphate, and greensand), blood feast, bone dinner, wood debris, and manure all contain significant micronutrients and their surface would further develop soil quality as opposed to debasing it. Natural rancher's accentuation involving just natural manures for fruitfulness keeps up with. In numerous viewpoints, natural cultivating was the lifestyle as it is a strategy for cultivating. Reduced crop yields and per capita food production have been identified as major causes of soil nutrient depletion and likely degradation, both of which pose serious threats to agricultural productivity. (Henao and Baanante, 2006).

Important aspects of natural manure: Organic fertilizers, in contrast to chemical fertilizers, utilize components derived from vegetables, animals, or minerals. Normal separation of the decaying matter from these sources would provide the dirt with nutrients and minerals. Once it came to lawn care, you had to make certain that the garden or lawn got all the nutrients it required to grow glowing. Fertilizers can ensure that the plant has balanced and appropriate access to nutrients, even though regular soil contains nutrients. Appropriate grass care additionally incorporates keeping up with the soundness of the nursery and yard. Natural manure's capacity to interface supplements more leisurely than synthetic composts was one of its benefits. This slower cycle allows the plant to manage the manure all the more routinely and will not achieve over getting ready which could hurt the plant. (Sarkar and others, 2003)

In numerous farming regions, contamination of groundwater is caused by manufactured composts and pesticides. Utilizing more biodiversity, organic fertilizers improve soil structure and water infiltration. Groundwater contamination is significantly less likely in organic systems that are well-managed and have better nutrient-retentive capabilities. Through its capacity to store carbon in the soil, organic agriculture aids in reducing global warming and the greenhouse effect. Numerous administration rehearses utilized by natural horticulture increment the arrival of carbon to the dirt, raising efficiency and leaning toward carbon capacity. Blends of plants and creatures improve supplement and energy cycling for farming creation. The arrangement of designs giving food and a safe house, and the absence of pesticide use, draw in new or re-colonizing species to the natural region, including wild greenery (for example birds) and organic entities gainful to the natural framework like pollinators and vermin hunters (Haygarth, 1997).

The likelihood of choosing costly fertilizers decreases if households are provided with sufficient labor to apply manure. Utilization of manure and fertilizer is also influenced by other factors in similar or distinct directions, in addition to having a reciprocal effect. It uncovers the probability of applying both compost and fertilizer increments inside expansion in package size (Mengistu et al., 2011).

Role of organic fertilizer:-

The prolonged buyer request has all the earmarks of being driven principally by the insight that naturally developed produce was more secure and a better number of additions to eat than produce developed conventionally (Lockie S et al.,) In a similar vein, it has been detected that the application of inorganic fertilizer damages the structure and texture of the soil, frequently resulting in soil erosion and acidity as a result of the leaching of nutrients. As a result of soil deprivation and an inequity in nutrients, all of these factors result in lower crop yields (Ojeniyi, 2000). Edmeades 2003). Presumed that manure soil had higher natural matter levels, lower mass thickness, higher permeability and water-powered conductivity, and more protuberant total soundness than soils arranged ordinarily (Karlen et al., 1994) improving all of these pointers of soil quality would make the most of crop growth. As a result, the volume to continue or increase soil organic matter levels was one of the most important benefits of manure as an organic nutrient basis.

Power and Doran,(Power et al., 1984). Microbial biomass and labile natural matter pools were in many cases more prominent in natural than routinely oversaw soils. Higher natural matter substance, N mineralization potential, and microbial biomass were seen in naturally cultivated plots than in those getting business composts. (Liebig and others, 1999), tracked down more prominent all-out C and N, microbial biomass, soil breath, and mineralizable N in naturally overseen ranches than in ordinary homesteads. By and large, tissue dry matter substance was accounted for to be higher in naturally developed verdant vegetables, yet not in organic products (Magkos et al., 2003). Essentially, (Heaton, 2001) expressed that dry matter produced from natural frameworks was higher than in expectedly developed produce. High paces of K treatment have been accounted for to diminish dry matter substance in certain harvests (Allison et al.).

Plant Growth Promoting Rhizobacteria :-

A group of bacteria known as plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) can be originate in the rhizosphere (Ahmad et al., 2008). The appearance "plant development proceeding microbes" alludes to microorganisms that colonize the underlying practicalities of plants (rhizosphere) that increase plant development. The rhizosphere is the dirt climate where the plant root is nearby and is a zone of most extreme microbial movement bringing about a restricted supplement pool in which fundamental large-scale and micronutrients are separated. The microbial populace present in the rhizosphere is moderately not quite the same as that of its environmental elements because of the presence of root exudates that capability as a wellspring of supplements for microbial development (Rao et al.,1998). also (Weller,1994) prove that the thin rhizosphere zone is wealthy in supplements for microorganisms contrasted with the mass soil; This is demonstrated by the fact that the amount of bacteria surrounding the plant roots is typically 10 to 100 times higher than in the bulk soil.

PGPR can be distributed into free-living rhizobacteria, which live external of plant cells, and symbiotic bacteria, which live inside plants and argument metabolites straight with them (Gray et al., 2005). PGPR within and external the cell: shared traits and involvements in the plant-bacterium flagging cycles. The effective organisms of PGPR can similarly be inaccessible into instant and indirect ones. Biofertilization, root growth encouragement, rhizoremediation, and stress control in plants are the straight instruments. Rhizobacteria, on the other hand, indirectly participate in plant growth elevation through biological control by reducing disease influence concluded antibiosis, systemic resistance induction, and opposition for nutrients and niches (Egamberdieva et al., 2014).

Role of Plant Growth Promoting Rhizobacteria for Plant Growth:-

PGPR plays a important part in advancement plant growth done a wide range of apparatuses. Plants' abiotic stress tolerance is one apparatus by which PGPR helps growth in plants; ii) nutrient addiction for plant absorption; iii) regulators of plant growth; iv) siderophores production; (v) the development of unstable natural mixtures; and (vi) the making of enzymes that defend contrary to plant diseases, such as chitinase, glucanase, and ACC-deaminase (Garcia-Fraile, Menéndez, & Rivas, 2015). Nonetheless, the technique of action of various PGPRs varies contingent upon the kind of host plants (Dey, Buddy, Bhatt, and Chauhan, 2004).

Beneficial and Harmful PGPR:-

It is acknowledged that rhizobacteria accept an important part in protection up with soil richness and renovating plant growth and development. While the differing is true in some studies (Saran et al., 2011).) this growth development arises with the help of several mechanisms, as debated in earlier chapters. For occurrence, the creation of cyanide is known to be a quality of specific Pseudomonas species. Here, cyanide creation by microorganisms is considered growth progress as well as a development restraint trademark. Besides, cyanide goes around as a bio control expert against specific plant microbes (Martínez-Viveros et al.,2010) Though, it can also hurt plant growth (Bakker et al., 1887).(Vacheron et al., 2013) expressed that auxin creation by PGPR can cause helpful as well as adverse significances on plant development. It is critical to take note that the possibility of auxin depends upon its fixation. For example, at low fixations, it raises plant development, while at general it hinders root development (Xie et al., 1996).

Besides, rhizobitoxine created by Bradyrhizobiumelkanii likewise makes a double difference. Since it is an inhibitor of ethylene combination, it can ease the adverse consequence of stress-instigated ethylene creation on nodulation (Vijayan et al., 2013) Then again, rhizobitoxine is likewise viewed as a plant poison since it prompts foliar chlorosis in soybeans (Xiong, and Fuhrmann, 1996).

Role of Plant Growth Promoting Rhizobacteria as a Biofertilize :-

Biofertilizer is turning into a vital part of natural cultivating and a central part for the economy and for general horticultural formation on a universal scale. Biofertilizers can be considered as substances that comprise living microorganisms; they inhabit the rhizosphere, or inner part of the plant, when useful to seeds, plant surfaces, or soil. They growth the supply or accessibility of main nutrients to the host plant, which in turn inspires growing (Vessey, 2003). As per (Mishra et al., 2013). biofertilizer is a mixture of live or idle cells allowing nitrogen fixing, phosphate solubilizing, or cellulolytic microorganisms used for applications to soil, seed, roots, or fertilizing the soil regions fully intent on increasing the amount of those mutualistic valuable microorganisms and speeding up those microbial cycles, which growth the convenience of additions that can then be handily adapted and expended by the plants. Malusá and Vassilev (Malusa, and Vassilev, 2014).

recommended that a biofertilizer is the planned item containing at least one microorganism that upgrades the supplement status (the development and yield) of the plants by either supplanting soil supplements or potentially by making supplements more accessible to plants as well as by expanding plant admittance to supplements.

The majority of biofertilizer substances are produced by plant-developing microorganisms (PGPM). There are three significant microorganism bunches in the PGPM: growths of arbuscular mycorrhizae (AMF) (Jeffries et al., 2013). Podile et al.'s plant growth proceeding rhizobacteria

(PGPR) 2013) and nitrogen-fixing rhizobia (Franche et al., 2009). which are useful for creation growth and food production. Though, it has been supposed that PGPR has been used as a biofertilizer all over the world to boost crop yields and soil fertility. According to Khan et al., due to the potential influence of the PGPR, this leads to the continuation of agriculture and forestry. 2009).

Conclusions:-

Natural cultivating can deliver top notch food without hurting the climate or the strength of the dirt. To fulfill the needs of the worldwide market, appropriate yields and items for natural creation should be recognized locally. The entire region cannot afford to switch to organic produce at this time due to its commitments to food and nutritional security. Producing into account the extraordinary results of PGPR to the extent that bio treatment, biocontrol, and bioremediation, all of which apply a positive effect on crop productivity and climate working, comfort should be given to its execution in cultivation. PGPR use will unquestionably become a reality as we anticipate an improvement in innovation's ability to produce efficient innovative work. It will play a crucial role in critical cycles that ensure the safety and effectiveness of agricultural environments, thereby propelling us toward an optimal rural framework.

Reference -

1. Ahmad, F., Ahmad, I., & Khan, M. S. (2008). Screening of free-living rhizospheric bacteria for their multiple plant growth promoting activities. *Microbiological research*, *163*(2), 173-181.
2. Allison MF, Fowler JH, Allen EJ(2001) Responses of potato (*Solanum tuberosum*) to potassium fertilizers. J Agr Sci 136(4): 407-426.
3. Bakker, A. W., & Schippers, B. O. B. (1987). Microbial cyanide production in the rhizosphere in relation to potato yield reduction and Pseudomonas spp-mediated plant growth-stimulation. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, *19*(4), 451-457.

4. Dey, R. K. K. P., Pal, K. K., Bhatt, D. M., & Chauhan, S. M. (2004). Growth promotion and yield enhancement of peanut (Arachis hypogaea L.) by application of plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria. *Microbiological research*, *159*(4), 371-394.
5. Edmeades DC (2003) The long-term effects of manures and fertilizers on soil productivity and quality: A review. Nutr Cycling Agroecosystems 66(4):165-180.
6. Egamberdieva, D., Lugtenberg, B., &Miransari, M. (2014). Use of microbes for the alleviation of soil stresses. *Vol.(1) Springer*, 73-96.
7. Franche, C., Lindström, K., &Elmerich, C. (2009). Nitrogen-fixing bacteria associated with leguminous and non-leguminous plants.
8. García-Fraile, P., Menéndez, E., & Rivas, R. (2015). Role of bacterial biofertilizers in agriculture and forestry. *Aims Bioengineering*, *2*(3), 183-205.
9. Gray, E. J., & Smith, D. L. (2005). Intracellular and extracellular PGPR: commonalities and distinctions in the plant–bacterium signaling processes. *Soil biology and biochemistry*, *37*(3), 395-412.
10. Gupta PK (2004) A handbook of soil, fertilizer and manure .
11. Haygarth P (1997) Agri culture as a source of phosphorus transfer to water: sources and pathways. Sci Committee PhosphEurNewslett 21: 1-15.
12. Heaton S (2001) Organic farming, food quality and human health. Soil Assn. UK.
13. Henao J, Baanante C (2006) Agricultural production and soil nutrient mining in Africa: Implication for resource conservation and policy development. IFDC Tech.
14. Iqbal, A., He, L., Ali, I., Ullah, S., Khan, A., Khan, A., ... & Jiang, L. (2020). Manure combined with chemical fertilizer increases rice productivity by improving soil health, post-anthesis biomass yield, and nitrogen metabolism. *Plos one*, *15*(10), e0238934.
15. Jeffries, P., Gianinazzi, S., Perotto, S., Turnau, K., & Barea, J. M. (2003). The contribution of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in sustainable maintenance of plant health and soil fertility. *Biology and fertility of soils*, *37*, 1-16.
16. Karlen DL, Stott DE (1994) A framework for evaluating physical and chemical indicators of soil quality. Defining soil quality for a sustainable environment. Doran

JW, Coleman DC, Bezdicek DF, Stewart BA (Eds.) SSSA Special Publ 35 Soil Sci Soc Amer, Madison, WI, p. 53-72.

17. Khan, M. S., Zaidi, A., & Musarrat, J. (Eds.). (2009). *Microbial strategies for crop improvement* (pp. 105-132). Berlin: Springer.
18. Liebig MA, Doran JW(1999) Impact of organic production practices on soil quality indicators. J Environ Qual 28(5): 1601-1609.
19. Lockie S, Lyons K, Lawrence G, Mummery K (2002) Eating 'Green': Motivations behind organic food consumption in Australia. SociologiaRuralis 42(1): 23-40.
20. Magkos F, Arvaniti F, Zampelas A (2003) Organic food: Nutritious food or food for thought? A review of the evidence. Int J Food Sci Nutr 54(5): 357-371.
21. Malusa, E., & Vassilev, N. (2014). A contribution to set a legal framework for biofertilisers. *Applied microbiology and biotechnology*, *98*, 6599-6607.
22. Martínez-Viveros, O., Jorquera, M. A., Crowley, D. E., Gajardo, G. M. L. M., & Mora, M. L. (2010). Mechanisms and practical considerations involved in plant growth promotion by rhizobacteria. *Journal of soil science and plant nutrition*, *10*(3), 293-319.
23. Mengistu Ketema and Siegfried Bauer (2011) Determinants of Manure and Fertilizer Applications in Eastern Highlands of Ethiopia. Haramaya University, Ethiopia. Quarterly Journal of International Agriculture 50(3): 237-252.
24. Mishra, D., Rajvir, S., Mishra, U., & Kumar, S. S. (2013). Role of bio-fertilizer in organic agriculture: a review. *Research Journal of Recent Sciences ISSN*, *2277*, 2502.
25. Ojeniyi SO (2000) Effect of Goat Manure on Soil Nutrients and Okra Yield in the Rain Forest Area of Nigeria. Applied Tropical Agriculture 5: 20-23.
26. Podile, A. R., Kishore, G. K., &Gnanamanickam, S. S. (2006). Plant-associated bacteria. *Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria. Springer, Amsterdam*, 195-230.
27. Power JF, Doran JW (1984) N use in organic farming, Nitrogen in crop production. Hauck RD (Ed.) ASA, CSSASSSA, Madison, WI, pp. 585-600.
28. SAHARAN, B., & NEHRA, V. (2011). Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria: a critical review. v. 2011.

29. Sarkar S, Singh SR, Singh RP (2003) The Effect of Organic and Inorganic Fertilizer on Soil Physical Condition and the Productivity of Rice-Lentil Cropping Sequence in India. Journal of Agricultural Science 140(4): 419-425.

30. Subba Rao, N. S., &Dommergues, Y. R. (1998). Microbial interactions in agriculture and forestry. *(No Title)*.

31. Vacheron, J., Desbrosses, G., Bouffaud, M. L., Touraine, B., Moënne-Loccoz, Y., Muller, D., ... & Prigent-Combaret, C. (2013). Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria and root system functioning. *Frontiers in plant science*, *4*, 356.

32. Vessey, J. K. (2003). Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria as biofertilizers. *Plant and soil*, *255*, 571-586.

33. Vijayan, R., Palaniappan, P., Tongmin, S. A., Elavarasi, P., & Manoharan, N. (2013). Rhizobitoxine enhances nodulation by inhibiting ethylene synthesis of Bradyrhizobiumelkanii from Lespedeza species: validation by homology modelingand molecular docking study. *World J Pharm Pharm Sci*, *2*, 4079-4094.

34. Weller, D. M., Thomashow, L. S., O'gara, F., Dowling, D., &Boesten, B. (1994). Molecular ecology of rhizosphere microorganisms, biotechnology and release of GMOs. *Current challenges in introducing beneficial microorganisms into the rhizosphere. VCH, Weinheim, Germany*.

35. Xie, H., Pasternak, J. J., & Glick, B. R. (1996). Isolation and characterization of mutants of the plant growth-promoting rhizobacterium Pseudomonas putida GR12-2 that overproduce indoleacetic acid. *Current Microbiology*, *32*, 67-71.

36. Xiong, K., & Fuhrmann, J. J. (1996). Comparison of rhizobitoxine-induced inhibition of β-cystathionase from different bradyrhizobia and soybean genotypes. *Plant and soil*, *186*, 53-61.