

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Advances in Biology &amp; Biotechnology</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JABB_112928</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Bee Conservation: A Critical Analysis of Strategies and Impacts on Pollination</b>
Type of the Article	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>The manuscript is relevant to current environmental and ecological research. The focus on bee conservation and its impact on pollination addresses a critical aspect of biodiversity and ecosystem health</p> <p>The title reflects the content and focus of the paper. It is both informative and concise. However, consider the title <b>“Strategies and Outcomes in Bee Conservation: Evaluating Impact on Pollination Ecosystems”</b>. This title maintains the emphasis on both the conservation strategies and their effects on pollination, while also highlighting the evaluative and outcome-focused approach of the study.</p> <p>The abstract included the importance of pollination and bees, the threats to bees, and the various conservation strategies proposed. However, a comprehensive abstract should succinctly summarize these key points: the role of bees in pollination and ecosystem services, the challenges they face, and the potential solutions for their conservation, and future scope. Thus, the author(s) are <b>encouraged to explicitly address the limitations of the review article.</b></p> <p><b>The manuscript does not follow the IMRAD (Introduction with literature review, Methodology, Results Discussion, and Conclusion) structure. Authors are recommended to modify the manuscript accordingly. Do not use random sub-headings.</b></p> <p>The contents of the manuscripts is okay, but it was “badly” presented. Authors are encouraged to improve the writeup and make it scientifically interesting.</p> <p>Over 80% of the referenced (cited) articles were published before 2020. Author(s) are encouraged to ensure that <b>70% of recently published articles are referenced</b> (Refer articles published between 2020 – 2024)</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Suitable, but a thorough English revision is recommended. There are a lot of grammatical errors, punctuations, and concord in the manuscript. For example, in the abstract section, the sentence “So in order to conserve them for the future their are various steps to...” should be re-written as <b>“So, in order to conserve them for the future there are various steps to...”</b> <b>Pay attention to the text in bold fonts.</b></p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Overall, the manuscript needs total overhauling. Authors are recommended to restructure the manuscript based on the comments.</p> <p><b>Recommendation: MAJOR REVISIONS Required</b></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Agughasi Victor Ikechukwu</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Maharaja Institute of Technology, India</b>