Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	**Asian Journal of Research in Crop Science**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJRCS_112821**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Field Assessment of Morphologic and Agronomic Performance of Six Soybean [Glycine max (L.) Merr.] Varieties Newly Introduced to INERA-Mvuazi Germplasm, Kongo Central Province**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. **Is the manuscript important for scientific community?** (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. **Is the title of the article suitable?** (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. **Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?** 4. **Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?** 5. **Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?** 6. **Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.** **(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)**	Yes, the manuscript is important for the scientific community as it provides valuable insights into the behavior of recently introduced soybean varieties. The results highlight significant variability among the soybean varieties, shedding light on how intrinsic properties of each variety interact with agri-environmental conditions. The title of the article is quite informative and provides a clear overview of the study's focus, which is the field assessment of soybean varieties introduced to the INERA-Mvuazi germplasm. However, it can be improved for conciseness and clarity. Here's a suggested alternative title: "Field Evaluation of Six Newly Introduced Soybean Varieties in the National Pulses Program Germplasm: Insights from Kongo Central Province" Yes, the abstract of the article is comprehensive Yes, subsections and structure of the manuscript is appropriate Yes, manuscript is scientifically correct Need to add some more recent reference	
Minor REVISION comments 1. **Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?**	The provided manuscript seems to be written in a formal and scientific manner, suitable for scholarly communications	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**G Naveen Kumar**
Department, University & Country	**Achayra NG Ranga Agricultural University, India**