

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_113085
Title of the Manuscript:	Factors Influencing Repayment of Youth Development Fund Loans in Tanzania: The case of Butiama District
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, the manuscript is important for scientific community as it highlight a very grave problem of loan repayments.</p> <p>The title seems a bit lengthy it can be shortened to : Tanzanian Youth Development Fund Loan Repayment Factors: The Butiama District Case (optional)</p> <p>The abstract should provide the glimpse of the entire manuscript; it can be summarized if possible.</p> <p>Yes, the subsections and structure of the manuscript is appropriate.(Headings and subheadings should have a uniform font)</p> <p>Yes, it is scientifically correct as proper validity tests and scientific data analysis methodology is used.</p> <p>References are sufficient but should be arranged as per the recent years(in descending order of publication year)</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, the language quality is as per the scholarly communications and not many grammatical mistakes have been encountered.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Overall research is in depth with proper tests applied and analysis performed.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ashwin D. Bhagat
Department, University & Country	Sonopant Dandekar College, University of Mumbai, India