

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_112347
Title of the Manuscript:	Political leader's background effect on health status in Africa: do foreign education matter?
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. I consider that this manuscript contains solid scientific studies, providing the latest information on the development of science, especially on the correlation between leaders, policy, health, and education.</p> <p>2. I think the title is quite interesting, but maybe the use of tenses needs to be paid attention to and reviewed, is it correct or not?</p> <p>3. Explain the abbreviations used (OLS, GCM, etc.) so that they are easier to understand for readers who are not familiar with these terms.</p> <p>4. We believe the writing structure is good enough. Please also pay attention to the publisher's guidelines.</p> <p>5. Yes of course. This includes certain scientific studies within the scope of science that I wrote about in point 1.</p> <p>6. Regarding the number of references cited, it is sufficient. However, can the references used be publications from the last five years? I'm sure this will provide newer knowledge and better align with your research. You have used many references that are more than 10 years old. Next, please pay attention to the rules for writing a bibliography, according to the guidelines issued by the publisher of this journal!</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>We suggest that this manuscript be reviewed again, there are several parts that we think are still unclear, especially regarding formal writing or adherence to correct grammar.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Angkasa Putra
Department, University & Country	Pukyong National University, South Korea