

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Scientific Research and Reports</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JSRR_112405</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Seismic response of RCC step back and step back - set back building on hill slope</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1.This manuscript is a regular study about buildings, we have same studies with same results in the regular buildings (like when we add braces in regular buildings the displacement and story drift will be reduced) . It is a good study about the buildings in hill slope and tried to see the effect of slope on the displacement and story stiffness of these structures. It would be important if there is not any other studies about seismic response of the step back-set back RCC buildings.</p> <p>2. It is ok but I think it would be clearer if it was written like this: "Seismic Response of RCC Buildings on hill slopes with step back and step back-set back configuration"</p> <p>3. Please check the first paragraph, make it stronger and choose better words. The abstract describes your whole study, it should be more formal and encourage readers to read the rest of your paper, so be careful with your language. For instance, change the words "construction 'done' " in the first sentence and use a formal word and structure for your sentence (the constructions that are built in ..."). Or the sentence "RSM is carried out in this analysis" is not clear, you mean in this study or in the analysis of these kinds of structures?</p> <p>4. The subsections are good but it is better to add some definition about your models before the table1. You should add at least one paragraph to talk about your modelling process in the ETABS software, then refer to the table1. In section 3.2, please add a paragraph and discuss about the models you shown and refer all of them on this paragraph. Moreover, describe the way you named the models, for example the name of model 7.5SB shows which parameters of your study?</p> <p>5. Yes, it seems to be correct.</p> <p>6.The references are sufficient for this paper and they used recent sources and articles. I suggest to review the paper for its language and make it stronger. Pay attention on the first sentences of your paragraph and use the correct structures for your sentences. (for example, the first sentences of paragraphs 4.1.1 and 4.1.2)</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>3</p> <p>In my opinion it can be better</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Roya Mousapour</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Iran</b>