

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Research in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJRM_113066
Title of the Manuscript:	Mycological and Mycotoxicological Producing Potential of isolates From Fermented Melon Seed (<i>Citrullus lanatus</i> (Thumb) Matsun 'Egusi kirikiri')
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes, this is a well written manuscript that mainly focused on the production of mycotoxin by Mycotoxigenic fungi in fermented melon seed samples. The author also addresses the isolation and identification of different fungal strain on the basis of morphological and microscopic examination.</p> <p>2. Yes the title of the article is suitable and it clearly defines the entire manuscript.</p> <p>3. The abstract of the article is comprehensive but it should be shortened.</p> <p>4. The subsections and structure of manuscript are appropriate and well defined.</p> <p>5. The manuscript is based on extraordinary empirical evidence and makes an original contribution.</p> <p>6. All the references mentioned in the manuscript are recent and sufficient however, Author can add some proper reference in table 1 where fungal strains are identified on the basis of morphology.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The English / language quality of this manuscript is good.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>I thoroughly review this manuscript and only minor revisions are required before it can be published.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Uma Shankar Prasad Uday
Department, University & Country	Brainware University, India