

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_112891
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative Evaluation of the Physico-chemical Characterization of Native and Modified Starches from Jackfruit, Corn and Cassava as Potential Additives in Drilling Fluid.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The manuscript is more useful to the scientific community to advantages of drilling techniques in different areas. The title is suitable. The abstract is comprehensive. All the subsection and structure are appropriate. Yes, to developed the skill different techniques of drilling and how to growth the fruits and vegetable and increase the yield percentages. References are sufficient and recent. Why pH-8 is maintain in the procedure? Fig -1 caption rewrite like 50 °C. 60 °C. 70 °C. etc. TGA of CN sample value is lower compare to other sample- please justify the reason. Fig- 5 FTIR spectra caption is not there. Include the caption in the figure like a, b. c etc. Include the original TGA spectra in the manuscript. Otherwise how to interpret the date right or wrong. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	OK	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Fasiulla
Department, University & Country	Manipal Institute of Technology, Manipal Academy of Higher Education, India