

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_112891
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative Evaluation of the Physico-chemical Characterization of Native and Modified Starches from Jackfruit, Corn and Cassava as Potential Additives in Drilling Fluid.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The manuscript shared some results from the work done which can be further develop and contribute to the drilling industry therefore it is important to the scientific community and oil and gas industry. The title represented the content of the manuscript, therefore it is suitable for the article. The abstract contains all important information on the work done and attract the readers to read through the whole manuscript. The manuscript is well written and organized with clear division of the sections. Adequate references had been reviewed and translated in the introduction and results and discussion sections. Methodology used had been clearly described in the methodology section and scientifically accepted. Results and discussion had been presented with some scientifically correct explanation. The reviewed references are sufficient and adequate recent references. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	Need to be consistent with the format, use of small and capital letters. Some typo errors in page 10.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	Since there is no work done in the economic or costing aspect, therefore it is better to remove all statements related to the costing.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ariffin Samsuri
Department, University & Country	Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Malaysia